



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 09/27/2004

| APPLICATION NO. | FI | LING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------|---|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/695,999 | | 10/30/2003 | Hidenobu Kamizono | Q77868 | 5659 | |
| 23373 | 23373 7590 09/27/2004 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| | SUGHRUE MION, PLLC | | | | AWAD, AMR A | |
| 2100 PENNS SUITE 800 | 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMB | | |
| WASHINGT | ON, DC | 20037 | | 2675 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED

OCT 1 8 2004

Technology Center 2600

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|---|---|--|
| | 10/695,999 | KAMIZONO, HIDENOBU | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Amr Awad | 2675 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | on appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of the second of the | ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a roon. The areply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AE | reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. | |
| Status | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un | This action is non-final. lowance except for formal matt | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | nurawn from consideration. | | |
| 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | • | • | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | • | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) | | outho Evaminar | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | | · , | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | | | |
| | | OTHEC ACTION OF TOTAL PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | eign priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docum | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docum | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | priority documents have been | | |
| application from the International Bu | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | list of the certified copies not r | received. | |
| | | | |
| uttachment(s) | | | |
|) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview St | ımmary (PTO-413) | |
| Y) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) |) Paper No(s) | /Mail Date | |
| Mainformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/04. | 3/08) 5) | formal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| Patent and Trademark Office | o, Li ouiei. | | |
| | ce Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040920 | |

| | | Complete if Known | | |
|------------------------------------|------|---------------------|---------------------|--|
| Substitute for Form 1449 A & B/PTO | Ap | plication Number | Cont. of 09/599,569 | |
| INFORMATION DISCLOSURE | Co | nfirmation Number | Not yet assigned | |
| STATEMENT BY APPLICANT | Fili | ing Date | October 30, 2003 | |
| | Fir | st Named Inventor | Hidenobu KAMIZONO | |
| (use as many sheets as necessary) | Art | Unit | Not yet assigned | |
| (| Ex | aminer Name | Not yet assigned | |
| , Sheet I of I | Att | orney Docket Number | Q77868 | |

| U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|---|--|
| Examiner Initials* | Cite No.1 | Document Number | | Publication Date | | |
| | | Number | Kind Code ² (if known) | MM-DD-YYYY | Name of Patentee or Applicant of Cited Document | |
| AA | | US 5,245,321 | | 09-1993 | Franz et al. | |
| 7 | | US 5,646,648 | | 07-1997 | Bertram | |
| 7 | | US 5,675,361 | | 10-1997 | Santilli | |
| \neg | | US 5,707,160 | | 01-1998 | Bowen | |
| | | US 5,864,334 | | 01-1999 | Sellers | |
| 1 | | US 5,909,210 | | 06-1999 | Knox et al. | |
| 1 | | US 6,046,728 | | 04-2000 | Hume e tal. | |
| 1 | | US 6,198,474 | | 03-2001 | Roylance | |
| AA | | US 6,396,483 | | 05-2002 | Hiller | |

| | | | F | OREIGN PA | TENT DOCUMEN | NTS | |
|-----------------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Examiner Initials* | Cite No.1 | Foreign Patent Document | | | Publication Date | Name of Patentee or | |
| | | Country Code ³ | Number ⁴ | Kind Code ⁵ (if known) | MM-DD-YYYY | Applicant of Cited Document | Translation ⁶ |
| AA | | JР | 59-151328 | | 10-09-1984 | | |
| AA | | JР | 4-354012 | | 12-08-1992 | | |
| AA | | JР | 10-260763 | | 09-1998 | | |
| | · - | | | - | | ······································ | |
| | | | | , | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | 6.1 |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| | | NON PATENT LITERATURE DOCUMENTS | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Examiner Cite Initials* No.1 | | Include name of the author (in CAPITAL LETTERS), title of the article (when appropriate), title of the item (book, magazine, journal, serial, symposium, catalog, etc.), date, page(s), volume-issue number(s), publisher, city, and/or country where published. | | | | | |
| | | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | <u></u> | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

| Examiner Signature | Amr twom | Date Considered | 9-21-2004 |
|--------------------|----------|-----------------|-----------|

^{*}EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

¹Applicant's unique citation designation number (optional). ²See Kind Codes of USPTO Patent Documents at www.uspto.gov, MPEP 901.04 or in the comment box of this document. ³ Enter Office that issued the document, by the two-letter code (WIPO Standard ST. 3). ⁴For Japanese patent documents, the indication of the year of the reign of the Emperor must precede the serial number of the patent document. ⁵Kind of document by the appropriate symbols as indicated on the document under WIPO Standard ST. 16 if possible. ⁶ Applicant is to indicate here if English language Translation is attached.

DETAILED ACTION

1. The preliminary amendment includes only claims 1, 3-5, 7 and 12-13, and applicant stated that this listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listing of claims in the application. However, applicant has not officially cancelled claims 2, 4, 6 and 8-10. Therefore, examiner will assume that claims 2, 4, 6 and 8-10 are still pending in the application.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The examiner has considered the information disclosure statement filed October 30, 2003; see attached PTO-1449.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-3 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,697,054. This is a double patenting rejection.

Claim Rejections - 33 U.S C. § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U. S. C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are Such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary- skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knox et al. (US patent NO. 5,909,210; hereinafter referred 1:o as Knox).

Knox (figure 3) teaches a keyboard device (80) for key inputting by selecting any one of two functions (Knox teaches that the two functions are, typing function and positional function) (col. 11, lines 21-31), effected by certain keys (90) on a keyboard (80), wherein hands of a user present at the keyboard are detected by senior (74), and one of the two functions affected by the certain keys is selected based on the result of the detection by the sensor (Knox teaches in the alternative embodiment that the switching from the cursor positional function to the typing function is carried out by detecting multiple fingers, and the switching from the typing function to the cursor positional function is carried out when a single finger is detected) (col. 11, lines 31-41).

Knox does not expressly teach that the sensor detects the hands of a user at the home position. However, home position is the position, which the user has his hand on the keyboard when he starts typing. As indicated above, Knox

1

Art Unit: 2675

teaches that when multiple fingers is detected by the sensor, the mode changes to typing position (col. 11, lines 31-41). Therefore, the multiple fingers detected by the sensor would be detected when the user is about to start typing (i.e., when the hand on home position).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to realize that, when the multiple fingers are detected by the sensor in Knox's device, the user would have his fingers in the home position because such position is usually the normal position which is taking by the user when he starts typing. Such position facilitates and expedites the typing on the keyboard.

As to claim 12, the claim is a broader version of cairn 1 and is analyzed as previously discussed with respect to claim 1.

6. Claims 2 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Knox as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Hiller (U'S Patent NO. 6,396,483).

As discussed above, Knox teaches all the limitations of claim 2 except the citation that the certain keys are ten-key section provided with numeric input function and cursor shift function.

However, Hiller (figures 1 & 3-4) teaches a keyboard (100) wherein only a tenkey section (105) can be switched between a numeric keypad function and a cursor positioning function (abstract and col. 3, lines 12-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Knox's keyboard device using Hiller's teaching of having only the numerical-key section (ten-key section) switches between the two functions (numeric input and cursor positioning) so as motivated by Hiller, because the numeric keypad is seldom used, an opportunity is therefore presented to make more effective use of this area (by using the same keys in both numerical input mode and cursor positioning mode) without sacrificing the functionality of a numeric keyboard (col. 2, lines 33-39). Furthermore, by allowing only the numerical key section to be switched between the two functions, the rest of the keys in the keyboard will be free to be used for typing while the numerical key section is used for cursor positioning increase the speed and the efficiency of data entry.

7. Claims 3 and 5 are rejected under U.S. C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Sellers (US patent NO. 5,864,334) in view of Knox.

As to claim 3, Sellers (figure 1) teaches a keyboard device (10) for key inputting by selecting any one of two functions (typing function and cursor position function) (col. 5, lines 5759) affected by certain keys (29) on a keyboard (28). Seller teaches a sensor (camera 52) for detecting the hand of the user above the keyboard (step 98 of figure 8) (col. 8, lines 25-28), a function switching (58) to select one of the two functions by pressing a key (col. 6, lines 30-41), a sensor validating/invalidating switch to changeover the validation/invalidation of the detection result of the sensor (steps 98 and 106 of figure 8 which validate the

Art Unit: 2675

hand configuration in the observation zone) (col. 8, lines 25-28 and 37-45). Sellers also teaches a control section for selecting one of the two functions according to the detection result of the sensor when the sensor validating/invalidating switch is validated (figure 8, YES or NO in step 98, and then YES in step 106 for generating a pick signal to have the device act as cursor positioning; or YES or NO in step 98, then NO in step 106, then NO in step 112 and then YES in step 114 to have the device switch back to the typing mode using hand movement without using the switch 58), and by the function switching key (58) when the validating/invalidating switch is invalidated (figure 8, NO in steps 98 and 106 and then YES in step 112 for switching from the cursor positioning mode to typing mode and YES in step 94 to switch back to cursor mode using only the switch 58 without detecting the hand) (col. 8, lines 12-54).

Sellers does not teach that the sensor detects whether hands of a user are present at home position. Note that, in step 1 14 of figure 8, Sellers teaches that to change from cursor mode to typing mode without using the switch (58), the sensor has to detect the re-entry of the hand and pressing any. Such teaching may suggest having the user in typing position when detected. But the mode changes is instigated when the hand re-entry is detected and any key is depressed, and not upon sensing the hand only.

However, home position is the position, which the user has his hand on the keyboard when he starts typing. Knox teaches that when multiple fingers are detected by the sensor, the mode changes to typing position (col. 11, lines 31-41). Therefore, the multiple fingers detected by the sensor would be detected

Art Unit: 2675

when the user is about to start typing (i.e., when the hand on home position), and the mode changing is instigated when the hand is detected.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Knox's teaching of having the switching to one of the two functions carried out by detecting the user's, hand in home position, to be incorporated to Sellers's device because such position is usually the normal position which is taking by the user when he starts typing. Such position facilitates and expedites the typing on the keyboard. Furthermore, switching to typing mode upon sensing the user's hand will accelerate the switching and therefore, accelerate the entry of data.

As to claim 5, Sellers (figure 1) teaches a keyboard device (I O) for key inputting by selecting any one of two functions (typing function and cursor position function) (col. 5, lines 5759) affected by certain keys (29) on a keyboard (28). Seller teaches a function switching key (58) to select one of the two functions by pressing a key (col. 6, lines 30-41), a sensor (camera 52) which is activated (ON state) when the hand is detected above the keyboard (YFS in step 98 or YES in step 106 of figure 8) and inactive (OFF state) when the hands are not detected (NO in steps 98 and 106 of figure 8). Sellers also teaches a control section for monitoring respective events of press-down of the function switching key (steps 94 and 112 of figure 8) and the transition from ON state to OFF state (NO in steps 98 and 106 of figure 8) of the sensor and from the OFF state to the ON state of the sensor and selecting alternatively one of the two functions, each time when one of the events occurs (after re-entering the hand and press key,

Art Unit: 2675

the device switches to the typing mode (YES in step 114), and if the switch depressed, the device switches to typing mode (YES in step 112)) (col. 8, lines 12-54).

Sellers does not teach that the sensor detects whether hands of a user are present at home position. Note that, in step 114 of figure 8, Sellers teaches that to change from cursor mode to typing mode without using the switch (58), the sensor has to detect the re-entry of the hand and pressing any. Such teaching may suggest having the user in typing position when detected. But the mode changes is instigated when the hand re-entry is detected and any key impressed, and not upon sensing the hand only.

However, home position is the position which the user has his hand on the keyboard when he starts typing. Knox teaches that when multiple fingers is detected by the sensor, the mode changes to typing position (col. 11, lines 31-41). Therefore, the multiple fingers detected by the sensor would be detected when the user is about to start typing (i.e., when the hand on home position).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Knox's teaching of having the switching to one of the two functions carried out by detecting the user's hand in home position, to be incorporated to Sellers's device because such position is usually the normal position which is taking by the user when he starts typing. Such position facilitates and expedites the typing on the keyboard. Furthermore, switching to typing mode upon sensing the user's hand will accelerate the switching and therefore, accelerate the entry of data.

Art Unit: 2675

8. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hiller in view of Knox.

As to claim 7, Hiller (figure 1) teaches a keyboard device (100) that includes a first group of keys (101) provided on a keyboard, a second group of keys (numeric keypad area 105 which functions as numerical key entry as shown in figure 3) on the opposite side of the first group of keys (col. 2, lines 40-45 and col. 3, lines 12-18). Hiller shows a control section (205) for disabling the input of the second group of keys (Hiller figure 4 teaches disabling the keypad area 105 to be activated in a mouse mode) (col. 2, lines 51-54 and col. 3, lines 19-22).

Hiller does not teach a sensor taking as a border for detecting whether hands of a user are present at the home position of the first group of keys, and wherein the control section is disabling the input by the second group of keys while the sensor detects hands.

However, Knox (figure 3) teaches a keyboard device (80) for key inputting by selecting any one of two functions (Knox teaches that the two function are the typing function or positional function) (col. 11, lines 21-31), affected by certain keys (90) on a keyboard (80), wherein hands of a user are present at the keyboard in typing position is detected by a sensor (74) wherein the sensor is located in the border of the keyboard (80), and one of the two functions affected by the certain keys is selected based on the result of the detection by the sensor (Knox teaches in the alternative embodiment that the switching from the positional function to the typing function to the positional function is

carried out when a single finger is detected) (col. 11, lines 31-41). Note that, the home position is the position, which the user has his hand on the keyboard when he starts typing. As indicated above, Knox teaches that when multiple fingers is detected by the sensor, the mode changes to typing position (col. 11, lines 31-41). Therefore, the multiple fingers detected by the sensor would be detected when the user is about to start typing (i.e., when the hand on home position).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hiller's device to include Knox's teaching of having a sensor on the border of the keyboard, that detects the user's hand in home position and to disable the input of the keypad (switching between two functions) in accordance to the detection of the hand to be incorporated to Hiller's device so that, the user may intuitively switch between the two functions (I.e., activate or deactivate the digitizer 10) which make the device user friendly. It would also increase the speed of the operations on the device by not requiring the depressing of a control key to switch between modes. Having the sensor on the boarder of the keyboard is obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art because having the sensor in the border would allow to detect the position of the user's hands on the entire keyboard.

Note that, Hiller and Knox are combinable to contemplate the limitations of claim 7 because both reference are direct to a keyboard used for typing and for cursor positioning. The digitizer (flat input device 105) of Hiller is only located in the ten-key section of the keyboard (i.e., second group of keys). Therefore, to combine the teaching of Knox to Hiller, the sensor of Knox would be applied to

the digitizer portion of Hiller, simply because switching between two modes in Knox's device is carried out on the digitizer (10).

As to claim 8, as disclosed above, Hiller (figure 3) teaches that the second group of keys (105) includes a group of numeric input keys (col. 3, lines 12-14).

9. Claims 4 and 6 are rejected under 35 U. S. C. 103 (a) as being unpatentable over Sellers and Knox as applied to claims 3 and 5 above, and further in view of Hiller.

As discussed above, Sellers and Knox teach all the limitations of claims 4 and 6 except the citation that the certain keys are ten-key section provided with numeric input function and cursor shift function.

However, Hiller (figures 1 & 3-4) teaches a keyboard (100) that includes a ten-key section (105), which has a numeric keypad function and a cursor positioning function (abstract and col. 3, lines 12-32).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Sellers's keyboard device using Hiller's teaching of having only the numerical-key section (ten-key section) switches between two functions (numeric input and cursor positioning) so as motivated by Hiller, because the numeric keypad is seldom used, an opportunity is therefore presented to make more effective use of this area (by using the same area in both numerical input mode and cursor positioning mode) without sacrificing the functionality of a numeric keyboard (col. 2, lines 33-39). Furthermore, by allowing only the numerical key section to be switched between

the two functions, the rest of the keys in the keyboard will be free to be used for typing while the numerical key section is used for cursor positioning increase the speed and the efficiency of data entry.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Amr Awad whose telephone number is (703)308-8485. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fridary from 9:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Razavi can be reached on (703)305-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMR A. AWAD PRIMARY EXAMINER

Anr Ahmed Kwal

A. A.

0 7 5

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450 IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS P.O. BOX 1450

OFFICIAL BUSINESS



AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER